

Interdental brush

Technical field

5 The invention relates to an interdental brush in which filaments (or bristles) are retained between wire sections which are twisted with one another. The invention also relates to a method for producing such brushes.

10

Prior art

Cleaning the spaces between the teeth with the aid of interdental brushes has long been an indispensable part 15 of thorough dental care. These interdental brushes essentially comprise two thin, twisted pieces of wire between which the bristles or synthetic filaments are firmly clamped. Whereas the wire ends of the small brushes formerly had to be inserted into a handle by 20 the user (see US 4,222,143, Tarrson), modern interdental brushes have a plastic part which can easily be inserted in the handle (see, for example, EP 0 001 044 A1, Georg; EP 0 203 082 B1, Curaden AG). Large or small brushes are required depending on the 25 width of the spaces between the teeth. There is thus a series of brushes with different wire and bristle diameters. Systems which allow the patient to determine the correct interdental brush easily and quickly are also already known (see, for example, EP 0 892 625 B1, 30 Curaden AG).

It has repeatedly been found to be the case in practice that the fine interdental brushes, i.e. the brushes for the narrow spaces between the teeth, are problematic to 35 use, i.e. easily bend during use and, in some circumstances, even break.

Description of the invention

The object of the invention is to provide an interdental brush which belongs to the technical field 5 mentioned in the introduction and is stable even if the wire has a small diameter.

The object is achieved by the features of Claim 1. According to the invention, the twisted wire sections 10 have a diameter of 0.3 mm or less and consist of a nickel-free or low-nickel steel. "Nickel-free" or "low-nickel", in the context of the invention, refers to a steel in which the nickel content in the alloy is less than 0.05% by weight.

15 Interdental brushes according to the invention are distinguished by an increased level of rigidity and an improved restoring force. If the brushes, during use, are introduced, for example, obliquely into the space 20 between the teeth and strike against something with the tips or bend, then the twisted section will not deform so easily or, if it deforms, it is not so easily subjected to permanent deformation. The properties of thin brushes in particular may thus be noticeably 25 improved for the user. Using nickel-free wire, in addition, increases the biocompatibility of the brush, without any protective coatings being necessary.

30 The wire used preferably has a diameter of 0.15 mm or more. This is because practical tests have shown that the smallest interdental brushes which are customary nowadays, and have a diameter of 0.15 mm to 0.30 mm, can be used to good effect. Thick wires obviously 35 result in more stable brushes than thin ones. In some circumstances, however, it is advantageous if the wires are not selected to be as thick as possible. Thinner wires result in more flexible brushes. A preferred wire diameter is in the range of 0.18-0.27 mm.

The wire used preferably has a tensile strength of 1000 N/mm² or more. In most cases, the tensile strength need not be greater than 1200 N/mm². Such wires do not just result in a brush with a low risk of breakage; 5 they also allow processing in high-speed machines which provide for pronounced bending. In the case of excessive strength, processing may be rendered more difficult because the wires may break. Surprisingly, it has been found that the wires according to the 10 invention can be processed even if they have a tensile strength of 1000 N/mm² and more. This is not the case with nickel-containing wires.

It is preferable, but not absolutely necessary, for the 15 wires to consist of an austenite. Tests have shown that the robustness of the filament carrier, which is formed by the twisted wires, is favourably influenced as a result. In addition, the corrosion resistance ensures that brushes which have been kept in storage, or are 20 left lying around, for a long period of time do not result in problems for the user during use (on account of corrosion having occurred in the meantime).

The operations of stretching or drawing out and 25 twisting the wires during processing in brush production increase the tensile strength. The processed wires are a certain amount stiffer than those which have not been processed.

30 In mechanical and automated production of an interdental brush, in a manner known per se, filaments are introduced between two wire sections and the wire sections are twisted with the filaments. According to the invention, however, the wire used is a nickel-free 35 one rather than a conventional nickel-containing one. The wire sections may be formed in fact by the two halves of a single piece of wire. It is also possible for them, however, to be realized by two separate wires.

Further advantageous embodiments and combinations of features of the invention can be gathered from the following detailed description and from the patent 5 claims taken in their entirety.

Brief description of the drawings

In the drawings used in order to explain the exemplary 10 embodiment:

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the twisted wire; and

15 Figure 2 shows a schematic illustration of a cross section.

In the figures, it is basically the case that the same parts are provided with the same designations.

20

Ways of implementing the invention

As seen from the outside, the interdental brushes according to the invention are of the same design as 25 those illustrated in the prior art mentioned in the introduction. Figure 1 shows how the wire sections 1a, 1b are twisted with one another. The filaments are not depicted in Figure 1. They are firmly clamped between the two wire sections 1a, 1b. It can be seen that the 30 wire sections 1a, 1b are bent to a relatively pronounced extent. They form the filament carrier more or less.

Figure 2 shows a cross section through the filament carrier. The wire sections 1a, 1b have a certain 35 diameter D. The filaments 2 are retained between them. Their diameter D is defined such that, on the one hand, the filament carrier has a desired level of rigidity and that, on the other hand, the interdental brush is

adapted to a certain degree of freedom of movement. The degree of freedom of movement may be determined, for example, by a probe, as is known from EP 0 892 625 B1, which was mentioned in the introduction. Inter alia the 5 diameter D and the width B and the wire material used (and/or the flexibility thereof) are relevant for the freedom of movement.

10 Interdental brushes made of a nickel-free steel (nickel-free, in this context, means a nickel content of below 0.05% by weight) of the following composition were produced successfully (weight measurements rounded off; impurities not mentioned):

15	17% by weight	chromium
	14% by weight	manganese
	2% by weight	molybdenum
	0.5% by weight	nitrogen
	0.25% by weight	silicon
20	0.11% by weight	carbon
	0.04% by weight	nickel
	0.02% by weight	phosphorus
	0.006% by weight	titanium
	Remainder	iron

25 The wire had a diameter of 0.23 mm and a tensile strength of at least 1100 N/mm² and not more than 1300 N/mm². Further tests were carried out with a wire having a diameter of 0.27 mm and consisting of the same 30 alloy. The tensile strength was at least 1000 N/mm² and not more than 1200 N/mm².

The interdental brush provided with the new wire withstands three times more frequent loading under 35 rotary bending than the traditional brush. (In the case of the so-called rotary bending, the plastic part is clamped in and a device rotates the tip of the brush in a circle.)

Of course, it is possible to vary the above alloy composition. The content of Cr and Mn may each be changed, for example, by 3% by weight. If the biocompatibility is particularly important, the content 5 of Ni is preferably also selected to be lower.

To summarize, it may be stated that the invention provides an interdental brush with a small filament-carrier diameter and, consequently, good freedom of 10 movement in the narrow spaces between the teeth. Even in the case of fine wire diameters, the interdental brush is highly robust.